

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,892	05/27/2005	Stefan Golz	Le A 35 944 (004974.01076	9691
22907 BANNER & W	7590 06/13/2007 ITCOFF I TD		EXAMINER	
1100 13th STR	•		HOWARD, ZACHARY C	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/508,892	GOLZ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Zachary C. Howard	1646			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
*	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmer		4\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	mary (PTO-413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/N	lail Date mal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/508,892

Art Unit: 1646

3

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

The preliminary amendment of 9/22/04 has been entered in full. Claims 1-12 and 18-26 are amended.

Claims 1-26 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to a method of screening using a NPFF1 polypeptide and a test compound.

Group II, claim(s) 12-17, drawn to a method of screening using an NPFF1 polynucleotide and a test compound.

Group III, claim 18, drawn to a method of diagnosing a disease by determining the amount of a NPFF1 polynucleotide in a sample.

Group IV, claims 19-21, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an agent that binds to or regulates a NPFF1 polypeptide.

Group V, claim 22, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a NPFF1 polynucleotide.

Group VI, claim 23, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a NPFF1 polypeptide.

Group VII, claims 24 and 26, drawn to a method of treatment comprising administration of a regulator of NPFF1.

Note: Claim 25 is a linking claim that links Inventions I and VII (see below). According to Office practice, the linking claims are not listed with the groups detailed above.

Art Unit: 1646

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking groups I-VII appears to be that they all relate to NPFF1 polypeptides and/or polynucleotides. The specification teaches that NPFF1 "is a seven transmembrane G protein coupled receptor (GPCR)" (pg 1, line 18).

However, the specification further discloses that NPFF1 is taught by Bonini et al, U.S. Patent 6,262,246, which was published July 17th, 2001 (cited as reference U1 on the IDS of 9/22/2004). The earliest date to which the instant application claims priority is a foreign priority claim to EPO 02006654.4, filed March 26th, 2002. Bonini teaches both a NPFF1 polypeptide (SEQ ID NO: 2) and a NPFF1 polynucleotide (SEQ ID NO: 1). Therefore, the prior art teaches both NPFF1 polypeptides and polynucleotides. Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of group I-VII does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Linking Claims

Claim 25 links Inventions I and VII. The restriction requirement between the linked Inventions I and VII is subject to the nonallowance of linking claim 25.

Upon the allowance of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claims depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1646

Election of species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species of <u>disease</u> are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of <u>disease</u> are as follows: hematological disorders, cardiovascular diseases, disorders of the peripheral nervous system, disorders of the central nervous system, respiratory diseases, COPD, asthma, and genitor-urological disorders.

The species of <u>disease</u> listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each condition has a different etiology (occurs independently) and affects different tissues and cells within an organism. Lack of unity is shown because these treatments lack a common utility which is based upon a common structural feature which has been identified as the basis for that common utility.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species of disease to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1-3, 12 and 18-26 recite each of the species of disease as a Markush-type group. Claims 4-11 and 13-17 each depend from one of aforementioned claims. The following claim(s) are generic: none.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,892

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1646

Rejoinder

Page 6

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,892

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary C. Howard whose telephone number is 571-272-2877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary B. Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

zch

/<u>Elizabeth C. Kemmerer</u>/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646